

Table 2
 Overall Heterodox-Adjusted Rankings for Ph.D.-Granting
 Institutions in Economics

School	Number of Faculty	Overall Productivity Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)	Z- Score	Per Faculty Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)
Harvard U	43	1 (1)	5.47	1 (1)
UC Berkeley	56	2 (2)	4.08	4 (4)
Princeton U	49	3 (3)	3.96	3 (3)
MIT	34	4 (4)	3.50	2 (2)
Yale U	43	5 (5)	1.89	12 (11)
U Michigan	49	6 (6)	1.85	19 (18)
New York U	37	7 (7)	1.76	7 (8)
UCLA	45	8 (8)	1.64	18 (16)
Stanford U	40	9 (9)	1.54	14 (13)
Columbia U	35	10 (11)	1.47	5 (9)
U Chicago	31	11 (10)	1.47	10 (5)
Northwestern U	34	12 (12)	1.27	13 (12)
UC San Diego	29	13 (13)	1.23	6 (6)
U Wisconsin, Madison	29	14 (14)	1.06	11 (10)
Boston U	34	15 (15)	0.87	23 (22)
U Pennsylvania	27	16 (16)	0.68	17 (15)
Ohio State U	34	17 (17)	0.68	31 (28)
Michigan State U	43	18 (18)	0.62	49 (49)
Cornell U	29	19 (19)	0.56	24 (23)
U Virginia	25	20 (20)	0.54	20 (17)
U Maryland, College Park	29	21 (21)	0.49	28 (27)
U Illinois, Urbana	35	22 (22)	0.47	41 (38)
Carnegie Mellon U	35	23 (23)	0.46	43 (41)
Duke U	30	24 (24)	0.40	34 (31)
UC Davis	26	25 (25)	0.38	26 (25)
U Southern California	22	26 (26)	0.35	15 (19)
U Texas, Austin	25	27 (27)	0.33	25 (24)

Table 2 *Continued*

School	Number of Faculty	Overall Productivity Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)	Z- Score	Per Faculty Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)
Brown U	27	28 (28)	0.31	32 (29)
North Carolina State U	39	29 (30)	0.28	63 (58)
U Minnesota	22	30 (29)	0.28	21 (20)
Vanderbilt U	28	31 (32)	0.27	36 (35)
Johns Hopkins U	19	32 (33)	0.26	16 (14)
Iowa State U	39	33 (31)	0.26	64 (59)
City U of New York	51	34 (44)	0.19	85 (98)
Pennsylvania State U	27	35 (35)	0.19	39 (43)
Syracuse U	31	36 (34)	0.18	53 (53)
U Massachusetts, Amherst	21	37 (70)	0.11	30 (85)
Boston College	20	38 (37)	0.09	27 (26)
Georgetown U	25	39 (36)	0.08	44 (40)
California Inst Tech	13	40 (38)	0.08	8 (7)
U Rochester	17	41 (39)	0.02	22 (21)
UNC, Chapel Hill	25	42 (40)	0.01	50 (48)
George Mason U	27	43 (41)	0.00	56 (55)
UC Santa Cruz	19	44 (43)	-0.03	33 (30)
U Colorado, Boulder	27	45 (42)	-0.03	59 (56)
U Washington	24	46 (45)	-0.04	51 (50)
U Illinois, Chicago	20	47 (46)	-0.04	35 (34)
Arizona State U	27	48 (47)	-0.05	61 (57)
Rice U	19	49 (48)	-0.10	38 (36)
Florida State U	24	50 (52)	-0.10	58 (61)
Texas A&M U	26	51 (49)	-0.11	66 (60)
Georgia State U	28	52 (50)	-0.14	74 (69)
UC Santa Barbara	26	53 (51)	-0.15	67 (63)
Indiana U	18	54 (53)	-0.20	46 (46)
George Washington U	27	55 (54)	-0.23	81 (75)
Rutgers U	24	56 (55)	-0.26	76 (70)
UC Irvine	16	57 (56)	-0.27	60 (44)

Table 2 *Continued*

School	Number of Faculty	Overall Productivity Rank (Grijalva-Nowell Rank)	Z-Score	Per Faculty Rank (Grijalva-Nowell Rank)
U Oregon	18	58 (57)	-0.28	57 (54)
U Houston	23	59 (58)	-0.28	75 (72)
UC Riverside ^a	19	60 (72)	-0.29	62 (81)
U of Iowa	16	61 (60)	-0.32	54 (52)
U Pittsburgh	21	62 (59)	-0.32	73 (68)
U Wyoming	13	63 (61)	-0.32	37 (32)
U Arizona	15	64 (62)	-0.34	52 (51)
U Kentucky	14	65 (63)	-0.35	47 (45)
U Florida	18	66 (64)	-0.35	70 (64)
U Missouri, Kansas City	7	67 (121)	-0.36	9 (105)
American U	20	68 (81)	-0.37	79 (102)
Southern Methodist U	17	69 (65)	-0.37	68 (62)
Washington U, St. Louis	16	70 (69)	-0.39	65 (67)
U Connecticut	24	71 (68)	-0.39	92 (89)
Clemson U	19	72 (66)	-0.39	78 (73)
Purdue U	20	73 (67)	-0.40	83 (76)
Emory U	15	74 (71)	-0.45	72 (66)
U Nebraska, Lincoln	14	75 (86)	-0.46	69 (84)
U South Carolina	10	76 (73)	-0.46	42 (39)
U Wisconsin, Milwaukee	18	77 (79)	-0.48	90 (95)
U Georgia	13	78 (74)	-0.49	71 (65)
Virginia Tech	15	79 (75)	-0.49	80 (74)
U Albany	14	80 (76)	-0.50	77 (71)
SUNY Binghamton	16	81 (77)	-0.51	82 (77)
Colorado State U	17	82 (105)	-0.51	91 (116)
New School U	10	83 (98)	-0.52	55 (87)
Rensselaer Polytechnic I.	7	84 (84)	-0.52	29 (33)
Wayne State U	9	85 (78)	-0.53	48 (47)
U Utah	20	86 (108)	-0.53	104 (123)
U Delaware	20	87 (80)	-0.54	106 (101)

Table 2 *Continued*

School	Number of Faculty	Overall Productivity Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)	Z- Score	Per Faculty Rank (Grijalva- Nowell Rank)
U Missouri, Columbia	14	88 (82)	-0.55	84 (78)
U Kansas	17	89 (83)	-0.55	100 (93)
SUNY Buffalo	14	90 (85)	-0.55	86 (83)
U Alabama	15	91 (89)	-0.57	95 (96)
U Notre Dame	7	92 (88)	-0.58	45 (42)
Florida International U	15	93 (87)	-0.58	98 (92)
U Oklahoma	14	94 (90)	-0.60	99 (97)
Brandeis U	6	95 (91)	-0.61	40 (37)
Louisiana State U	11	96 (92)	-0.62	89 (80)
SUNY Stony Brook	12	97 (93)	-0.62	97 (91)
Oregon State U	11	98 (94)	-0.63	93 (86)
Lehigh U	13	99 (95)	-0.63	103 (99)
U Miami	10	100 (96)	-0.63	87 (79)
Auburn U	11	101 (97)	-0.64	96 (90)
Temple U	19	102 (111)	-0.65	121 (124)
Washington State U	10	103 (99)	-0.66	94 (88)
U New Hampshire	14	104 (117)	-0.66	110 (121)
Oklahoma State U	13	105 (100)	-0.66	108 (104)
Northern Illinois U	11	106 (102)	-0.67	105 (100)
Southern Ill U, Carbondale	10	107 (101)	-0.67	101 (94)
West Virginia U	16	108 (103)	-0.67	116 (111)
Portland State U	10	109 (123)	-0.67	102 (120)
U New Orleans	16	110 (104)	-0.67	117 (113)
Western Michigan U	17	111 (110)	-0.68	122 (119)
U Tennessee, Knoxville	12	112 (106)	-0.68	109 (106)
U Hawaii, Manoa	16	113 (107)	-0.68	120 (115)
Northeastern U	12	114 (119)	-0.69	111 (117)
U Arkansas	12	115 (109)	-0.69	112 (107)
U Mississippi	12	116 (113)	-0.70	115 (110)
Kansas State U	13	117 (114)	-0.70	118 (114)

Table 2 *Continued*

School	Number of Faculty	Overall Productivity Rank (Grijalva-Nowell Rank)	Z-Score	Per Faculty Rank (Grijalva-Nowell Rank)
U New Mexico	13	118 (112)	-0.70	119 (112)
Fordham U	10	119 (115)	-0.72	113 (108)
U Rhode Island	8	120 (116)	-0.72	107 (103)
Utah State U	20	121 (118)	-0.73	127 (127)
Claremont Graduate U	5	122 (120)	-0.73	88 (82)
Middle Tennessee State	12	123 (124)	-0.74	124 (125)
Clark U	8	124 (122)	-0.75	114 (109)
Colorado School of Mines	9	125 (125)	-0.77	125 (122)
Texas Tech U	7	126 (126)	-0.77	123 (118)
Suffolk U	8	127 (127)	-0.79	126 (126)
Howard U	9	128 (128)	-0.80	128 (128)

^aU California, Riverside and U California, Irvine rankings were switched in Grijalva and Nowell (2008). The rankings are corrected this table.

correlation is $R_s = 0.979$), with the first “significant” change occurring with the City University of New York whose ranking increases from 44 to 34 quickly followed by the University of Massachusetts, Amherst whose ranking increases from 70 to 37. The other major changes involved departments moving from the bottom 25 percent of departments to the bottom third of departments—advances to be sure but not that significant. The exception is the University of Missouri, Kansas City, which moves from the bottom 6 percent of the departments to almost the top half of departments. So while there is movement in the overall productivity rankings, they generally involve the movement of “heterodox” departments from the lower to the middle ranks, thus making them look more like the “average” mainstream department, although the differences in the aggregate productivity is fairly small, as indicated by the z-score in column 4 of Table 2.¹⁹

The fifth column of Table 2, “Per Faculty Rank,” shows how each department ranks when its heterodox-adjusted total productivity sum